

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO

CRYSTAL HATCHETT,
on behalf of minor L.F.,

Plaintiff,

VS.

COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL
SECURITY,

Defendant.

CASE NO. 1:20-cv-237

OPINION AND ORDER
[Resolving Doc. 1]

JAMES S. GWIN, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE:

On February 4, 2020, Plaintiff Crystal Hatchett, on behalf of her minor son L.F., filed a complaint seeking judicial review of the Defendant Commissioner of Social Security's denial of supplemental security income for L.F.'s disabilities under Title XVI of the Social Security Act.¹ The Court referred the matter to Magistrate Judge Carmen E. Henderson.

On January 19, 2021, Magistrate Judge Henderson issued a Report and Recommendation (“R&R”) recommending that this Court affirm the Commissioner’s final decision.² Objections to that R&R were due by February 2, 2021.³ Plaintiff Hatchett filed no objections.

The Federal Magistrates Act requires a district court to conduct a *de novo* review only of those portions of an R&R to which the parties have made an objection.⁴ Failure to timely

¹ Doc. 1.

² Doc. 18.

³ *Id.*

⁴ 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C).

Case No. 1:20-cv-237

Gwin, J.

object waives a party's right to appeal the magistrate's report.⁵ Where a party does not object to the R&R, a district court may adopt it without review.⁶

Accordingly, in light of Plaintiff Hatchett's decision not to object to the R&R in this case, the Court **ADOPTS** Magistrate Judge Henderson's R&R, incorporates it as if fully restated herein, and **AFFIRMS** the Commissioner's final decision.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 17, 2021

s/ James S. Gwin
JAMES S. GWIN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

⁵ *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 145 (1985); *Gerth v. Warden, Allen Oakwood Corr. Inst.*, 938 F.3d 821, 827 (6th Cir. 2019).

⁶ *See Thomas*, 474 U.S. at 149–50.